

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,678	03/03/2004	Matthew Rubin Lerner	003797.00912	2303	
	7590 02/05/2007 /ITCOFF LTD.,	EXAMINER			
ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NOS. 003797 & 013797			VAUGHŅ, GREGORY J		
SUITE 1100	1001 G STREET , N.W. SUITE 1100			PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-4597	2178			
	•				
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/790,678	LERNER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory J. Vaughn	2178		

	Gregory J. Vaughn	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	,
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) aș
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		colod olaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,5,7,8,10 and 11</u> .			
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	Is to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		1995 6 10	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☐ Other:	s	EPHEN HONG	
	SUPERVISO	ORY PATENT EXA	MINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Application No. 10/790,678**

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8 and 10 require further search and consideration. Specifically claims 1 and 7 have new limitations directed toward "attributes that are not user definable" and claims 4 and 10 have new limitations directed toward "a website associated with the annotated document".

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's request for reconsideration is directed toward arguments related to the newly amendmed claims. The examiner is required to reconsider the claimed subject matter and perform a new search in response to the amended claims.